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**ABSTRAK**

Karl Barth dikenal dengan teologi*neo-ortodohox*. Di dalam teologi ini Barth berpendapat bahwa Tuhan itu tidak diketahui dan begitu tinggi.Satu-satunya wahyu dari Tuhan adalah inkarnasi dari Yesus.Wahyu Tuhan kepada manusia melalui Firman-Nya dikomunikasikan dengan medium-medium utama; pertama, melalui Yesus Kritsus, kedua melalui Alkitab, dan ketiga melalui gereja, adalah konteks dari hubungan antara manusia dengan Tuhan dimana Alkitab dikupas dan Yesus diungkapkan.

Roh Kudus adalah kuasa yang mempersatukan antara Bapa dengan Anak di dalam kehidupan Trinitas mempengaruhi persatuan diantara Tuhan dan kemanusiaan di dalam Kristus dan mempersatukan umat-umat percaya di dalam Kristus.

Gambar Allah dapat ditemukan dalam hubungan dan tidak ditemukan dalam apa yang manusia dapat miliki.

**INTRODUCTION**

The theology of Karl Barth is generally known as neo-orthodox.[[1]](#footnote-1)It is characterized by an encounter between God and man. This section present an introduction to the Barth’s theology, then briefly discusses his view of God, revelation, the Holy spirit, salvation, and last things.

Karl Barth’s was born in 1886 in Basel, Switzerland.[[2]](#footnote-2)His father was a professor of theology at Reformed seminary, who moved to the University of Bern when Karl was a small child.[[3]](#footnote-3)The young Karl grew up in that capital city of Switzerland and resolved to become a theologian at the time of his confirmation-he was only sixteen years old. He studied theology under some of the leading liberal.

Protestant thinkers of Europe, including Adolf Harnack[[4]](#footnote-4) and became a minister of the Reformed church, firs in Geneva, and the in small town of Safenwil on Switzerland’s border with Germany.[[5]](#footnote-5) According to his later memories, Barth found that the Liberal Theology of his education did not translate into meaningful preaching that connected with the lives of the average people of the parish. He became disillusioned with liberal Protestantism when his own theological mentors such as Harnack and other German professors publicly supported the Kaiser’s war policy in 1914.[[6]](#footnote-6)Godsey writes,

On what he (Barth) has called a “black day” in August 1914, ninety-there German intellectuals impressed public opinion by their proclamation in support of the war policy Kaiser Wilhelm II, and to his horror he (Barth) discovered that many of his former professors were among them. This convinced him that he could no longer follow them in their and therefore not in their theology.[[7]](#footnote-7)

Barth delved into that perennial source of theological renewal-the apostle Paul’s epistle to the Romans-and published Der Romerbrief in 1919.[[8]](#footnote-8)In that theological commentary Barth set forth the basic precepts for dialectical theology,or the “theology of the Word of God.” In 1921 he was appointed professor of Reformed theology at the University of Goettingen. Afterwards he taught at Munster and Bonn.

While teaching at Boon, Barth began writing a complete system of systematic theology based on God’s Word with title Church Dogmatics. He continued to work on his Church Dogmatics from 1932 until shortly before he died in 1968. Barth intended to write a systematic theology completely free of any overpowering philosophical influences and based purely on exegesis of God’s word in Jesus Christ as witnessed to the Scriptures. Unlike most of other systems of theology, Barth launched an exposition of the Word of God directly in threefold ground; Jesus Christ, the church, and Scripture. His basic axiom is that the knowledge of God lies in God’s Word Alone.

**GOD**

This section discusses Barth’s doctrine of God dividing it into two parts: the transcendent God and the unknown God.

THE TRANSCENDENT GOD

One of the cardinal points of Barth’s doctrine of God is that He is the transcendent God. God is “above us, above space and time, and above all concepts and opinions and all potentialities.”[[9]](#footnote-9)Such thinking ends in the entire divorcement of God from man’s experience. In his epistle to the Romans Barth describes this concept as follows:

God, the pure limit and beginning of all that we are, have, and do, standing over in infinite qualitative difference to man and all that is human, nowhere and never identical with that which we call God, experience, surmise, and pray to as God, the unconditioned Halt as opposed to all human rest, the yes in our no and the no in our yes, the first and last and as such unknown, but nowhere end never a magnitude amongst others in the medium known to us, God the Lord, the Creator and Redeemer . . . that is living God.[[10]](#footnote-10)

Man is a complete alien until God wills to show Himself to him. God is in the highest where man cannot reach unless He reveals Himself to man. Here “in the highest” means that “God is he the one who stands above our highest and deepest feelings, strivings and intuitions, above the products, even the most sublime, of the human spirit.”[[11]](#footnote-11)

THE UNKNOWN GOD

Barth makes it explicit from the beginning that God id the unknowable God.[[12]](#footnote-12) Even when man says that he knows Him, this knowledge is of an incomprehensible Reality. “God is personal, but personal in an incomprehensible way, in so far as the conception of his personality surpasses all our views of personality.”[[13]](#footnote-13)

In relation to man’s inability to know God, Barth contends that even when God reveals Himself to the man of faith, of, more accurately, to the man to whom He gives faith, still the man “will confess God as the God of majesty and therefore as the God unknown to man.”[[14]](#footnote-14)Man as man can never know God: man’s wishing. Seeking, and striving are all in vain.3In his comment on Romans 1:19, 20, Barth says:

We know that God is He whom we do not know, and that our ignorance is precisely the problem and the source of our knowledge. The Epistle to the Romans is a revelation of the unknown God; God chooses to come to man, not man to God. Even after the revelation man cannot know God, for he is ever the unknown God. In manifesting himself to man he is farther away than before.[[15]](#footnote-15)

So, according to Barth, the more man know of God, the more He is yet to be known, and the more things of God which man will yet not be able to know. In the same book, he further states, the revelation in Jesus, just because it is the time the strongest conceivable veiling and of God. In Jesus, God really becomes a mystery, makes himself known as the unknown, speaks as the eternally silent One.[[16]](#footnote-16)

**REVELATION**

Revelation, according to Barth, is the sole prerogative of God, that is, God revealing Himself to man.[[17]](#footnote-17)It comes solely at God’s discretion. It means that there is nothing man can do to force it, of merit it, but it is given to man all freely, in any case, from God’s infinite love. Barth sees that God’s revelation to man though His Word is communicated through three primary mediums: Jesus Christ, the Bible, and the proclamation of the church.[[18]](#footnote-18)

JESUS CHRIST

Christ is the revelation of God.[[19]](#footnote-19)Human beings are not able to know God apart from the revelation in Jesus Christ. Apart from incarnation there is no revelation.[[20]](#footnote-20)Barth, on every hand, speaks of time and eternity as two distinct realms, an unabridged chasm between God and man. He also speaks of the unknown God. All of this ends up in the view that there is no way from man to god. There is a way, however, from God to man through Jesus Christ.[[21]](#footnote-21)

According to Barth, Christ as manifested in Scripture is not necessarily the historical Jesus but the Christ of Faith. This Christ who is the World of God did not the “Jesus of history.”1 For Barth, the historical Jesus is but a product of historian’s mind, designed to reconcile contradiction which will not down. The Christ of the flesh is not proclaimed by Barth any more than by Paul. The Christ proclaimed by Himself was the Christ who was crucified and risen.2

THE BIBLE

For Barth, the Bible is merely a record of revelation, an authoritative pointer to revelation. Jesus Christ is the revelation and the Word of God.3He rejectsboth natural theology[[22]](#footnote-22)and general revelation.[[23]](#footnote-23)According to him, the Bible contains the Word of God. The Word is revealed by the Spirit as the Bible and Christ proclaim it. The Bible is human and fallible. The historicity of the Scripture is unimportant.[[24]](#footnote-24)

The Bible, according to Barth, is not God’s Word in the sense that Jesus Christ is. Jesus Christ is the very Word of God, for Heis God Himself in action and communication. The bible is one form of God’s Word, not the primary but a secondary form.[[25]](#footnote-25) However, it is the God-ordained witness to God’s Word in the person of Jesus Christ, and it becomes God’s Word whenever God chooses to use it to encounter and confront people with the gospel of Jesus Christ. Barth says, “The Bible is God’s Word to theExtent that God causes it to be His Word, to the extent that He speaks through it.”[[26]](#footnote-26)

Barth rejects the idea of the inerrancy of the Bible. According to him, the Bible is a human product through and through. It is a book of human testimony to Jesus Christ, and in spite of all its humanness it is unique because God uses it. The statements of the Bible can be wrong at this point. That does not matter, however. God has always used fallible and even sinful witnesses, and the Bible is just one of such witnesses.[[27]](#footnote-27)

Barth never devaluates the Bible. He always highly esteems it. What he wants to do is only to exalt Jesus Christ above the Bible. For him, Jesus is the Lord. Scripture is not. It is a witness to the Lord.[[28]](#footnote-28)

THE CHURCH

Barth recognizes the proclamation of the church as the third from divine revelation. For him the ChristianCommunity is the true church.[[29]](#footnote-29)As a community, according to Barth, the concrete of a living congregation. It should not connotatively refer to an organization or institution. The Church is the “event of gathering together,” and in this sense a “living congregation.”[[30]](#footnote-30) This is the very essence of the church.

The Christian community as the true church, according to Barth, arises and exists only whenthe Holy Spirit works.[[31]](#footnote-31) The task of the true church is to awaken man for conversion. Its existence is necessary for the salvation of sinners.Barth says,

The Christian church also counts on the awakening of man to conversion because I cannot conceal the fact that the Scriptures of the Old Testament and New Testament count on it and call on the church to do so.[[32]](#footnote-32)

Thus, for Barth, the proclamation of the church is a form of divine revelation. It is a means of instruction that has been used by the Christian Church from its very beginning.[[33]](#footnote-33) However, it is tertiary-third in priority after Jesus Christ and Scripture. In and through the preaching and teaching of the church, God sometime speaks and draws people into encounter with Himself.

Jesus Christ is the Lord of Scripture and the church. Scripture is the authority in the church is the context for divine-human encounter in which Scripture is expounded and Jesus is proclaimed. All of these there are divine revelations. But each one then centers upon Jesus Christ.

**THE HOLY SPIRIT**

Barth strongly believes in the deity of the Holy Spirit.[[34]](#footnote-34)In his Church dogmatics He equalizes the Holy Spirit with God Himself. He says, “God’s spirit, the Holy spirit . . . is God Himself, so far as He cannot only come.To human beings, but in them, and so open up human beings for Himself, make them ready and capable.”[[35]](#footnote-35)

Barth sees the Holy Spirit, in the life of the trinity, as the uniting power of love between the Father and the Son. The Holy Spirit also makes the union between God and humanity in Christ possible and binds believers together in Christ.[[36]](#footnote-36)

The work of the Spirit is in full accordance with that of the Resurrected Lord. Indeed, the only content of the work of the Holy Spirit is Jesus.[[37]](#footnote-37)Man can enjoy the relationship with the Father only through the Spirit. Thus, the revelation as creator, redeemer and reconciler.[[38]](#footnote-38)

Another work of the Holy spirit, according to Barth, is to bring the Word of God all the way to man. He observes,

The act of the Holy Ghost in revelation is the Yes to God’s Word which is spoken by God Himself for man, yet not just to man, but also in man. This Yes spoken byGod is basis of the confidence with which a man may regard the revelation as applying to him.[[39]](#footnote-39)

SALVATION

According to Barth,[[40]](#footnote-40) God’s entire purpose in creation is salvation, and the election is an intrinsic part of salvation by grace alone. He affirms the sovereignty of God in election and rejects synergism.

For Barth, the doctrine of election is the sum of the Gospel. His approach to predestination is based on two main assertions: (1) Jesus Christ is electing God, and (2) Jesus Christ is elected man. For him, predestination is eternal that precedes time. Predestination is also Christologically based. Jesus is the subject in election who elects other. Jesus at the same time is also the object of God’s election.

The election of Jesus Christ by God, in Barth’s understanding, is that “God elected of predestinatedHimself.”[[41]](#footnote-41)In this election, there are two contradicting aspects. This is called a “double predestination.”[[42]](#footnote-42)In positive sense God has ascribed salvation and life to man, while in negative sense, He has ascribed reprobation, perdition, and death to Himself.[[43]](#footnote-43)At Calvary, God said “Yes” to His Son and humanity who were in Him; at the same time He elected Himself to be man’s partner and took upon Himself the rejection, death, and hell which man deserved. Thus, in Barth’s view of predestination, there are only “divine glory,” “blessedness,” and “eternal life” for man.[[44]](#footnote-44)There is no foreordination of evil or damnation upon man.

Although God has allowed evil from the very beginning, He has negated it through Jesus Christ. God does not will and foreordain any portion ofHis creation to be eternally lost. Rather, He wills, foreordains and decrees to allow sin and evil to be totally negated in Jesus Christ, that is, in His cross and resurrection.5

God’s “no” has never been spoken against humanity, not even a portion of humanity, but only against Himself in Jesus Christ. God does speak “no” and “yes” in double predestination. But, for Barth, unlike the traditional Reformed Theology,[[45]](#footnote-45)“double predestination” does not ferret to a dual determination of human beings. Rather, it refers to the two different aspects of what happened in God’s election of Jesus Christ.

In the election of Jesus Christ which is the eternal will of God, God has ascribed to man . . . election, salvation and life: and to Himself He has ascribed . . . reprobation, perdition and death.[[46]](#footnote-46)

Thus, for Barth, “predestination means that form all eternity God has determined upon man’s acquittal at His own cost.”[[47]](#footnote-47)The cost for this acquittal is “the way of the Son of God into a far country” and His death on the cross at sinners hand.

For Barth, in God’s election “the only truly rejected man is His own Son.”[[48]](#footnote-48) Jesus is picture as both the elected and the elector, the subject and object of divine election. For this reason, according to Barth, the justification of all humanity is not to be found in the history of individual persons but in the history of the God-man, Jesus Christ.[[49]](#footnote-49)In Christ all humanity is simultaneously the elect of God. Man is elected if man connects himself to Jesus, in His life, death, and resurrection, has fulfilled the full cost of the divine-human bifurcation, and thus all humanity is forensically justified before God. Thus, in Barth’s doctrine of election, God has elected himself in Christ for suffering and death, and has elected humanity for eternal life.

**SUMMARY**

For Barth, God is transcendent, and unknown. The only revelation is the incarnation of Jesus. God’s revelation to man through His Word is communicated in these primary mediums; first, through Jesus Christ, second through the Bible, and third, through the church is the context for divine-human encounter in which Scripture is expounded and Jesus proclaimed.

The Holy Spirit is the uniting power of love between the Father and the Son in the life of the Trinity effects the union between God and humanity in Christ and binds believers together in Christ.

The key to understand the image of God is found in the “us” and the “out” of the creation statement of Gen 1:26. An analogy of relation exists between the I and Thou in the divine being, and the being of humanity, male and female. Because the human as creature cannot be “like” God, analogies can only be comparisons of “unlikeness.”

The image of God is to be found in relationship and not found in something that man possesses.
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